

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/601,529	IZUMI ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Matthew J. Kohner	3653	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Allowed

 (1) Matthew J. Kohner.

(3) _____.

 (2) Scott Malpede.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 December 2005
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

potential 112 rejection of claims 4 and 6 due to language "the moving member moves to a third position" This language had no antecedent basis in the previous claim and therefore it was unclear to what the claims were referring.

Claims discussed:

4 and 6

Prior art documents discussed:

none


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner agreed to cancel claims 4 and 6

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 DONALD P. WALSH
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)